# Formal Minutes of IPIM Advisory Board Meeting 1 March 2022

# **Contents of document:**

- Attendance
- Actions
- Main themes arising from discussions

#### **Attendance**

#### **Apologies:**

Carol Arnold, Carol Nyahasha, Sheila Wallace

# **Advisory Board Attendees:**

Julie Barrett, Julie Browne, Caelia Bryn-Jacobsen, Ben Buchanan (Chair), Becky Campbell, Liz Dawson, Pete Fellows, Jodie Johnson, David Joo, Dola Kayode-Temenu, Saiful Khan, Abdulmalik Lawal, Liam Lawlor, Josh McLennon (Secretary), Sarah Phillips, Megan Rannard, Beatriz San Martin, Rina Sond, Vanessa Stainthorpe, Kathryn Taylor, Sarah Vaughan

### IPIM attendees (last 30 minutes of meeting):

Andrea Brewster, Alicia Chantrey, Julie Dunnett, Julia Florence, Gordon Harris, Michael Silverleaf, James St Ville

#### Actions

- All members: complete IP Inclusive impact survey (link here: https://ipinclusive.org.uk/newsandfeatures/impact/)
- All members: provide Andrea with comments on Business Plan Ideas in advance of IP Inclusive AGM on 26 April 2022.
- Chartered trademark attorney members from private practice: contact Sarah Vaughan (<u>sarah@citma.org.uk</u>) if you would like to speak on EDI panel alongside Alicia Chantrey on 16/17 March 2022.
- IPIM: include item at AGM relating to ensuring the inclusivity of changing office spaces.

# Main themes arising from discussions

# First discussion - 'Widen Our Reach'

How do we represent the IP Profession roles which are under-represented in the IP Inclusive community (that is, the non fee-earning/chartered roles such as Formalities, admin, IT and HR)?

• We need to facilitate engagement of support staff with IP Inclusive by approaching them directly <u>and</u> encouraging senior leaders to permit attendance.

- We need to raise awareness of IP Inclusive within firms, i.e. utilise 'internal publicity'.
- Different needs of support staff need to be taken into account when organising IP Inclusive events.

#### **Second Discussion: Challenge the naysayers**

How do we hear views counter-supportive to D&I? What have we heard in our own organisations? Those critical or challenging views that do not accept the business case or do not understand why D&I matters?

- IP Inclusive should focus energies on educating those with misunderstandings rather than on entrenched naysayers.
- Vast majority of people are open to D&I initiatives
- Getting emotional buy-in from the wider profession is important winning hearts as well as minds
- Weaving EDI issues into generic events may be best received

# **Discussion of IPIM's business plans**

• IP Inclusive to provide organisations with D&I compatible office design ideas.